



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Sir John Banks, Sir John Zouch, Mr. Wolstenholme and Mr. Wrote. The Adventurers this day returning their answers to such Propositions as were formerly delivered to them by Commiss^{rs} and expressing a grateful readiness to accept his Maj. grace and bounty in proffering a new Charter of Restitution of a Company with confirmation of all their ancient Territories, rights and privileges what soever, point of Government only with some few other reservations excepted. It is (on their behalf) ordered that Mr. Attorney General be desired to pass no Grant or Patent to any person or corporation, but with proviso or exception of all territories, etc., formerly granted to the late Company of Virginia by any Grant of the late King James.—*Colonial Papers*, Vol. 6, No. 23.

**Papers Relating to the Administration of Governor
Nicholson and to the Founding of William
and Mary College.**

(CONTINUED.)

[The captions in brackets have been added by the editor. Additions by Nicholson have been placed in quotations.]

The majority of the clergy of Virginia adhered to Nicholson in the dispute between him and Dr. Blair and other members of the Council. Their feeling seems to be particularly excited against their Commissary Blair, and resistance to him continued, evidently inspired by Nicholson, even after that governor had been recalled, but before his successor, Edward Nott, arrived on August 15, 1705. Dr. Blair's memorials against Nicholson, of April 25, 1704, and May 1, 1704, which seemed so much to excite these members of the clergy are printed in *Perry*, 93-112, 131-138. One of the "pasquils," referred to in one of the clergy's addresses, is a ballad satirizing Dr. Blair's accusers, who were assembled, says the song, at Man's Ordinary, Williamsburg. This ballad was printed in London, in 1704, and may be found in *Perry*, pp. 179-180. Of course, in what such a man as Nicholson says about Carter and Lightfoot or any

other opponent, there is always a possibility of falsehood throughout.]

[ADDRESS OF THE CLERGY TO NICHOLSON.]

To his Excellency Francis Nicholson, Esq., Her Maj^{ty} Lieut. & Govern. Gen^{le} of Virginia.

May it please your Excellency:

In obedience to your Excell^{ty} command, we are now assembled at Her Maj^{ty} Royall Colledge of William & Mary, this 22nd day of February, 1704-5, according to our usual Custome of meeting once a year & Wee do in an humble & dutifull manner Pray your Excellency to accept of our most upright & sincere intentions to do your Excell^{ty} Justice & to vindicate your Excell^{ty} Honour in this and all other Addresses wth We have formerly made to your Excell^{ty} since the unhappy controversy has been raised between your Excell^{ty} & ye Revend Mr. Commissary Blair. And we do hereby ratify & Confirme those Addresses wth our most Solemn Protestation that we do Dissent from and Disown those unfair Clandestine measures w^{ch} his Reverence has taken to accuse your Excell^{ty} without our consent or knowledge. We pray your Excell^{ty} to transmitt unto the most Revend Father in God, his Grace ye Lord Arch Bishop of Canterbury, our Congratulatory Address to her most Sacred Maj^{ty}, together wth our address to his Grace also ye Right Hon^{ble} & Rt. Rev^d Father in God Henry Lord Bishop of London, our address to his Lordship & to ye Rt. Hon^{ble} ye Lords Commissioners for Trade & Plantations, our Remonstrance, humbly referred to their Lordships' Consideration. And we do again repeat our solemn Prötestations that as we have no Just reason to Desert your Excell^{ty} Cause so We shall be ready upon all occasions to give a further demonstration of our Gratitude to your Excell^{ty} for your many and repeated favours your Excell^{ty} has bestowed upon us, as also upon all our Brethren in ye neighboring Colonys. We hope our Candour & Sincerity herein will wipe off ye reproach of Ingratitude w^{ch} the undecent Actions of two or three of our own Coat might have cast upon ye Reverd. Clergy in America. So with our hearty Prayers to Almighty God that your Excell^{ty} unblamable behaviour, Especially in re-

lation to ye Church & Clergy may be clear and manifest, Wee
begg Leave to subscribe

Your Excellency's Most obliged & obedient servant,

Lewis Latane,	Sam'l Gray,
James Burtell,	And. Monro,
Ralph Bowker,	Tho. Edwards,
Bartho. Yates,	Thomas Sharpe,
Philipe de Richbourg, (minister	Wm. Williams,
of Manakin Town),	James Clack,
Edw'd Portlock,	Emanuel Jones,
Wm. Rudd,	Rich'd Squire,
Solomon Whately,	John Carnegie,
Isaac Grace,	Guy Smith,
Peter Wagener,	Dan'l Taylor,
Arthur Tillyard,	Jacob Ware,
James Boisseau,	Owen Jones.
Peter Kippax,	

[ADDRESS OF THE GOVERNOR AND CLERGY TO THE QUEEN.]

To the Queen's most Excellent Majesty. The Congratulatory address of the Governor & Clergy of Virginia:

May it please your Majesty. We your Majesty's most dutiful and Loyall subjects the Governor & Clergy of your Ancient and Great Colony & Dominion of Virginia having in a Decent and Solemn Manner return'd our hearty thanks to Almighty God for that Signall and Glorious victory obtained by your Maj^{ty's} forces & the Allies against the French & Bavarian forces under the Conduct of the renowned Duke of Marlborough in our several Parishes in the happy Anniversary of your Maj^{ty's} Birthday. And being now assembled together think ourselves obliged in all Duty; further to Demonstrate our unspeakable Joy & Satisfaction on this remarkable occasion; wherein Heaven seems in a peculiar manner to have heard the Prayers of the church and rewarded your Maj^{ty's} Extraordinary Piety & Charity wth the most Eminent victory of the last Century, not to be Equalized with the preceeding Actions of your Maj^{ties} most fortunate Predecessours. May the same Divine Power that has hitherto blessed your Maj^{ty} during the whole series of your

matchless reign be your Almighty Director & Defender, that your Maj^{ty} may be a Curb to the boundless ambition of France, as the Illustrious Queen Elizabeth was to the Exorbitant pride of Spain.

And that we may long enjoy the blessings of your most auspicious Government are and shall be the continued Prayers of

Your Majesty's Most Dutifull & Loyall Subjects,

ffr. Nicholson,	James Clack,
Solomon Wheatley,	Peter Kippax,
Guy Smith,	Jacob Ware,
Edward Portlock,	Sam. Gray,
Owen Jones,	Ralph Bowker,
And. Monro,	Wm. Rudd,
John Carnegie,	John Shropshire,
Phillipe de Richebourg,	James Boisseau,
Minister to Manakin Town,	Geo. Robertson,
James Burtell,	Ja. Wallace,
Rich'd Squire,	Jno. Monroe,
Tho. Edwards,	Peter Wagener,
Dan. Taylor,	Isaac Grace,
Bartho. Yates,	Thomas Sharpe,
Emanuel Jones,	Arthur Tillyard,
Lewis Latane,	Wm. Williams.

[ADDRESS OF THE CLERGY TO THE COMMISSIONERS OF
TRADE AND PLANTATIONS.]

To the Right Hon^{ble} the Lords Commissioners for Trade and Plantations.

May it please your Lordships:

We are very Sensible how ill it becometh persons of our habit to be found intermedling in affairs without ye line of their own vocation, nor should we have been so preposterous as to have incur'd the same guilt ourselves w^{ch} we condemne in others had not the occasion of laying this our Remonstrance before y^r Lops. been such as in manner extorted it from us & therefore

may we hope by y^r Lordships favorable interpretacon apologise for our presumption in offering it.

We are indeed mightely surprised or rather perfectly amazed to understand by our last advices from England that Virg^a was in such an horrid state of distraction & confusion even to a degree of threatening nothing else than an Insurrection or at least a desertion (news to us who are upon ye spot, we declare it perfectly new), and y^t such representations had been laid before her ma^ty by way of Complaint ag^t our most Hon^{ed} Patron ye Hon^{ble} Frances Nicholson, esqr., her Ma^ty's Lieut. & Gov^r Gen^l of this Colony.

That there reigns among us anything of such a gen^l way dangerous dissatisfaction as we are dispersed throughout all the parts of this Province & may therefore be supposed to have some knowledge of ye pulse of ye people (speaking as to ye generality of them), of all sorts & Conditions (we might had there been no other occasion), honestly & we hope inoffensively declared to be a thing altogether unknown to us who are most strangely ignorant of ye temper of ye people of this Province if they be not as Loyall & peaceable Subjects as in any of her Matys. Dominions & as well satisfied with their present circumstances.

Yet we should not have thought it proper for us to have interposed one Syllable in this affair, but have left every one to have answer'd for himself, had we not (to our inexpressable regret), some even of our own coat also (though God be thanked but very few), and those such of whom we may say in ye style of ye Apostle that they went out from us but were not of us, for if they had been of us they would no doubt have continued with us, but they went out y^t they might be made manifest, y^t they were not all of us, engaged in an affair so unbecoming their character as is y^t of despising Dominions and speaking evil of Dignities & more especially his Reverence ye Rev^d Mr. Commissary Blair who by ye station he has so long maintained amongst us may be supposed a person ye most capable of representing ye state of our affairs & ye Common Sentim^t of ye Clergy of this Province wth (amongst other things), he having as we find by ye copies of severall affidavits presented to you Lops. assumed to himself to do & y^t in a most unfair & not wthout too broad innuendoes y^t is only necessity dependance on an overawing power

wth restrains even us ourselves also from Joyning wth him in the same Complaints.

Hereupon we cannot but do y^e Justice both to our most Hon[']ld Patron ye Govern['] whom we find & know (as far as relates to our affairs & lyeth w[']thin ye compass of our knowledge to be most injuriously aspersed & causelessly accused, and to ourselves (whose very Silence in this case might reasonably pass for a consent or at least indifference) most humbly to enter before yo[']re Lordships' this our Solemn Protestation, That

May it please yo['] Lops., we do absolutely disclaime & disavow those most unconscionable complaints wch. we find have been laid before yo['] Lops. ag^t his Ex[']cy our Gov['] in relation to his behavior towards ye church & clergy, that we know not by what Comission Mr. Comissary Blair took upon himself not only wth out our knowledge & Consent, but in direct contradiction to our most known & publickly avowed sence & Experience to the Contrary to make such unfair representations on our behalfs. That so far is ye Gov['] of Virg^a from being a neglecter from ye Interest of ye Church or an oppressor of her ministers, y^e he is ye greatest Patron, Protector & Benefactor to both that (we suppose those parts of the world has ever had or (he once lost to us) can readily expect to have, w[']ch being a matter of fact so notoriously known we cannot but wonder yt. anyone (tho under the security of three thousand miles distance) should have the confidence to intimate ye Contrary, how far Mr. Commissary's memory has failed him in ye sev^l instances of his charge agt. ye Gov^{mt} upon this head many of us are able of our own certain knowledge & by undeniable proofs to demonstrate as will appear when we shall be duely called to give our Evidence in forme upon y^e in ye meantime being now mett together in a Body (according to our custom, once a year), we could not but in our own vindication, as well as in Justice to his Exc[']y, transmitt to yo['] Lops. on ye first opportunity this our remonstrance agt. so wrongfull an accusation, humbly imploring yo['] Lops. to accept as a testimony both of ye veneracon we bear to ye sacredness of authority (especially so nearly representing maty itself), as doth ye Gov['] of our Province of the gratitude as well as duty w[']ch we owe to so signal a Patron & Benefactor & of ye averscon we have to such unchristian as well as unclerical practices

as we cannot but judge those to have been, which enforced us upon this presumption of giving yo^r Lops. this trouble.

James Boisseau,	Lewis Latane,
James Burtell,	Philippe De Richburgh,
Barth ^e Yates,	(Minis ^t of Manican Town),
Sam ^l Gray,	Arthur Tillyard,
James Clack,	Peter Kippax,
Wm. Rudd,	Emanuel Jones,
John Carnegie,	Thomas Sharpe,
Jacob Ware, min-	Solomon Whately,
ister of Henrico,	Dan ^l Taylor,
Andrew Monro,	Tho. Edwards,
Owen Jones,	Guy Smith,
Edward Portlock,	Rich ^d Squire.
Ralph Bowker,	

Whatsoever has ocured since our arrival in ye Country & is specified in this Remonstrance we subscribe unto.

Isaac Grace,
Peter Wagener,
Wm. Williams.

[ADDRESS OF THE CLERGY TO THE ARCHBISHOP OF
CANTERBURY.]

To his Grace the Lord Arch Bishop, of Canterbury, Primate & metropolitan of all England. The humble address of the Clergy of Virginia.

May it please your grace:

We the Clergy of Virginia being assembled together at her Maj^{ty} Royall Colledge of William & Mary this 22d Day of February, 1704, in lieu of our usual & annual meeting. And having Congratulated her most Sacred Maj^{ty} for the Glorious success of her Maj^{ty} Forces as the happy Effects of her Maj^{ty} Singular Piety & Exemplary Charity.

With all humility We pray your grace to present our address to her Maj^{ty} on that subject, and we do the more presume upon your graces Benignity, partly because We understand our most honored Diocessan is often retired into the Country for health & partly because We are Well informed that your Grace has re-

sented the Injuries & Indignities offered (by two or three of our own coat) both to our Governour & to ourselves for wch. we do return your grace our most hearty thanks.

And we beg leave to Acquaint your grace that we are grieved that our governor (who under her majesty is the greatest support of the church in America) is Scandalized & Impeached after such an unfair & uncharitable a manner, than that we are charged by the same person (as men of no Conscience), wth Flattery, Bribery, & Servile Obsequiousness, not only by incoherent Depositions but also by such Scurrilous Pasquills & Lampoons, as the most profligate Enemies to the Church never before presumed to insinuate upon ye Clergy in an English Government.

We most humbly Pray your Grace favourably to accept this address, from

Your Grace's most obedient Sons, Servants, &c.,

James Boisseau,	Peter Wagener,
Owen Jones,	Thomas Sharpe,
Sam Gray,	Arthur Tillyard,
Wm. Williams,	Dan. Tayler,
James Clack,	James Burtell,
Peter Kippax,	Guy Smith,
Jacob Ware,	Phillippe de Richbourg,
Emanuel Jones,	Manakin Town Minister,
And. Monro,	Ralph Bowker,
Rich'd Square	Lewis Latane,
Wm. Rudd,	Isaac Grace,
Solomon Whately,	Bartho. Yates,
John Carnegie,	Tho. Edwards.

[ADDRESS OF THE CLERGY TO THE BISHOP OF LONDON.]

To the Right Hon^{ble} Right Reverend Father in God Henry
Lord Bishop, of London.

May it please your Lordship:

We the Clergy of Virginia being assembled at her Ma'tys
Royall College of Wm. and Mary this 22th day of February,
1704, and having taken into consideration the Deposicons of the
Reverend Mr. Commissary Blair together with two more of our
Coat as far as relates to ourselves the make bold to acquaint yo^r

Lordships that we are strangely surprised at their Assertions, but what we have at present to say in answer thereunto we have declared in a Remonstrance to your Lordship & the other Hon^{ble} Lords of Trade & Plantacon.

We hope your Lordship is satisfied of our unbiast Sincerity in representing matter of fact by our former address to y^r Lordship and we do again assure yo^r Lordship that no By-ends whatsoever, either by fear of ye Govern^{rs} Authority or by bribes or by private insinuacons or by other unwarrantable means hath induced us to advocate the Hon^r of our injured Govern^r in opposition of Self Interest, but of pure & upright intent to do Justice to his Ex^{ty} and to preserve the happiness of ye Church w^{ch} has hitherto so flourished under his Ex^{ty}'s Patronage.

We presume to acquaint yo^r Lordship that we have prayed his Grace, ye Lord Arch Bishop of Canterbury, to present our Congratulatory address to her most Sacred majesty, not out of any disrespect to yo^r Lordship for no clergy in the world can have more veneration for their own Diocesan than ye Clergy of Virginia, but because we have been often informed of y^{or} Lordships Indisposition & retiring unto ye Country for health, that yo^r Lordship may (by Divine Assistance), recover and continue in health for the greater advancement of the Glory of God & ye welfare of ye church, are & shall be ye hearty prayers of,

Yo^r Lordships most obedient Sons and Servants, &c.,

Richard Squire,	Thomas Edwards,
Dan'll Tayler,	Wm. Rudd,
Wm. Williams,	James Clacke,
Peter Kippax,	Jacob Ware,
Ralph Bowker,	Guy Smith,
Solomon Whately,	John Carnegie,
Peter Wagener,	Thomas Sharpe,
Isaac Grace,	And ^r Monro,
James Burtell,	Phillippe de Richburgh,
Edward Portlock,	Manican Town Minister,
Emanuel Jones,	Bartho, Yates,
Owen Jones,	James Boisseau,
Sam'll Gray,	Lewis Latane,
Arthur Tillyard.	

[ROBERT CARTER AND A SCOTCH PEDLER.]

“Memorandum, Virg^a, Feb^y 24, 170⁴.

“About three years since being at the house of Mr. Benj^a Harrison, Jun^r, at the city of Williamsburg, in company with his father and himself and discoursing with them about Coll. Carter, they askt me if I had not heard of Coll. Carter’s dealing with a scotch boy for a piece of stuff to make his Lady a gown & petticoat, I answered noe; upon which in a very scoffing and Slighting manner they told me that the boy came to the house and asked whether there was any Sider to be sold for goods, answer was brought there was, upon which he desired to tast it, wch. he did, and lik’d it and agreed on the price; then Coll. Carter asked what goods he had and the rates of them; then the boy opened his bagg or wallet, and a peice of Stuff was agreed for, wch. they said was for the use above mentioned, and the boy brought his Caske ashore for the Sider and had it filled, but when he came to take it on board found it was not near so good as that he had a tast on shore; upon w^{ch} the boy returned and in a great passion told Coll. Carter that he had cheated him, w^{ch} made Coll. Carter very angry and there upon he ask’d the boy if he knew him or who he talk’d with; ‘Ayes, bad man I ken thee better than thou kens thyself.’”

[STATEMENT BY NICHOLSON AS TO LIGHTFOOT AND CARTER.]

“Memorandum: This to be under the paper ab^t Coll Lightfoot & Coll. Carter, &c.

“By this aco^t it is supposed it will appear what sort of sparks their Hon^{rs} Coll^o Lightfoot & Coll^o Carter are. Ye Room where ye Council then satt, ye Journal whereof were kept in it & ye Cl^k of ye council did but just remove to reach them, so if those Gent. had not come prepossessed wth a design of managing if they could that affair ab^t John Lewis being one of the council w^{ch} they opposed all they could, they would never have asserted such falsities & have made themselves lyars upon record.

“It may rationally be inferred that seeing those Gents. will ascertain such things where they might easily have avoided it by examining the records, y^t their peticons & memⁿ to her Maj. are

most of them of the same nature, as will appear by Records & living testiments.

“Lightfoot is made a meer toole by them only to make up the number six, y^t they might there be ye major part of the Council by w^{ch} they might fancy y^t their peticon & memⁿ to her Ma^{ty} ought to be of ye same power, validity, &c., as when a cause is heard before the house of Peers or before the Lords of her Ma^{tys} most humble Privy Council y^t ye major part of them had been on one side, but they had forgot y^t neither ye House of Peers nor the Lords, the Privy Council, &c., give Judgm^t & pass Sentences ag^t any person before he is heard what he hath to say for himself against his accusers & y^t it ofentimes happeneth y^t ye pet^{rs} comp^a, &c., be found to have no just cause of Complaint, &c., & found to be guilty of perjury & of Signing false scandalous & malicious pet^{ns} memorials, &c., so y^t instead of ruining ye person they designed to do so by, they have been ordered to be prosecuted at Comon law for perjury as likewise ye p^{son}, leave given to prosecute y^m at Comon Law for defamacon, Slandor, &c.

“This Coll. Lightfoot is a person generally of an ill reputation, &c., as will appear by ye annexed paper concerning him & he is so far from being amended, that of late he has grown worse, the five others that signed wth him knowe all this to be true & formerly gave him such character as is not proper to be named here.

“At the bottom of ye paper ab^t Coll. Carter & ye Scotch boy.

“This accot. was sent to Memorandⁿ: This is but one of the characters of his Hon^r Coll. Rob^t Carter, a great many others, he being justly guilty of, some of w^{ch} are his extraordinary Pride & Ambition, his using sevⁿ people haughtily, sometimes making ye Justice of ye Peace of ye county wait two or three hours before they can speak to him, &c. He is likewise fam^d for his covetousness & Cowardise, to people y^t will flatter, cajole & as it were adore him he is familiar enough, but others he uses wth all ye haughtiness & insolence possible, in contempt of him he is sometimes called King Carter & other times Robin Carter even to his face.”

[STATEMENT OF HENRY TYLER.]

W^ms^burgh, 25 February, 1704.

Mr. Henry Tyler, of the County of York, declares that Coll. Phillip Ludwell, since the arrival of the Strumbul Man of War, came to him & told him that he must get his affidavit about summoning the Grand jury, to which ye sd. Tyler answered that he would not unless he could force him, upon which ye sd. Ludwell told him he could not force him, then ye sd. Ludwell asked ye sd. Tyler if he did not think the men were sent for to be put on ye Jury or words to that effect.

H. TYLER.

[COMMENT BY NICHOLSON.]

“ By this it plainly appears how ye faction have been endeavoring to get affidavits, &c., to have them Swear to thoughts & designs just as they have signed ye Mem^l & Mr. Blaire hast sworne, for he names this Mr. Tyler as being the sheriff that summoned ye Grand jury when major Arthur Allen was foreman. And if I remember right Mr. Tyler told me that Coll. Ludwell had been with him about giving his Affidavit, &c., but he told me that if he had sworne what Ludwell would have had him he must have sworne what he did not know or untruths or words to that effect. I heard that before they sent their Memⁿ for England they would have had some Gent. in the Country to have joined with them & signed it, as particularly Coll. Byrd, Coll. Jennings, & Coll Diggs, and I suppose they used all ways & means possible to have got others either to have signed it or joined with them in some other paper and that they have likewise done it now, and endeavored to get people to swear to confirm what they have signed on y^t Memⁿ & ye others have sworn in England, or to make new false scandalous & malicious accusations ag^t me, but if they have got any depositions upon Oath I think they are taken extra judicially and I know no authority that either any of the Council or any Justice of Peace or other officer within this Governmt. hath to take any Depositions upon Oath in this affair. I think I profer’d them very fair for to prove ye Memⁿ, affidavits, or any other new accusation ag^t me, but

they would had it done after a very arbitrary & illegal way, &c., and in the paper where they named ye Comm' they would have had a power for one or two Justices to have taken the affidavits not only in ye County where they lived but in any others, upon w^{ch} I told them that they needed not have named above one or two, for I supposed that was their design, for may be they could not have got above that number in ye County to have done as they would have had them. Upon w^{ch} they drew up a sort of Explanatory paper on that other paper. I think they were above an hour in doing of it in ye Council chamber, for the rough draugh had very many blottings & alteracons in it. It may be taken notice of that Coll. Harrison, one of the four, would not joyne with the other three about taking the affidavits, &c., and may be he hath consulted his son Benj^a & may be if those four Gents. were obliged, each of them, without discouraging one another, to draw up proofs & evidence to make out ye Memⁿ two of them would not agree, for I found that in ye Council Chamber they were forced to consult & help one another in what they did there, which I think was neither hon^{ble} nor honestly done but some of them are as it were case hardened, &c."

NOTES.

REV. LEWIS LATANE, a Huguenot, fled from France to England in 1685, after the Revocation of the Edict of Nantes, and came to Virginia in 1700, where he at once became minister of South Farnham parish, Essex. He is stated to have married twice before coming to Virginia, and married again here, his last wife being Mary Deane. He was a man of education and high character. He died in 1733, and in his will proved in that year, he names his wife, and daughters Charlotte, Phebe, Henrietta, and Marian. He does not name his son, who probably had been already provided for. This son John Latane married Mary Allen and had an only surviving son William Latane, Justice of Essex 1760-1780, who married Ann, sister of Colonel Thomas Waring, of "Goldberry," Essex, and had issue: 1. Mary, married John Temple; 2. Lucy, married Payne Waring, of Essex; 3. John, married ——— (and had C. R., who married Miss Fantleroy and Mary P. W.); 4. Henry W., born 1777, member

House of Delegates from Essex 1815, 1816, married and had issue; 5. Thomas, of Essex, died 1837, married Mary, daughter of Nelson Berkeley, of Hanover county, and had a daughter Lucy Robinson Latane; 6. William C.; 7. Ann S. married — Lewis; 8. Eliza married — Waring.

To this family belonged Bishop Latane, of the Reformed Episcopal Church; the gallant Captain Latane, C. S. A., who was killed in Stuart's ride around McClellan (and whose burial was the subject of a well known painting), and Captain J. L. Latane, 53d Va. Infantry, C. S. A., captured at Gettysburg.

REV. THOMAS EDWARDS, minister of St. Ann's parish, Essex, died 1716. His will names his wife Catherine, sister Mary Thomas; the son of his Uncle Joseph Lewis; Thomas, son of his Uncle James Thomas; and Thomas, son of Barbary Scandrett. His inventory shows a considerable estate, including 176 titles of books, silver plate, a gold ring with his crest, &c.

CLAUDE PHILLIPE DE RICHEBOURG was the first minister of the Huguenot settlement at Manakin town; but owing to disputes in his parish, he removed in 1707, with a number of his adherents, to the Carolinas.

REV. BARTHOLOMEW YATES, born 1677, died July 26, 1734; B. A. of Brazenose College October 12, 1698, and came to Virginia about 1700. After serving for a short time the parishes of Sittingborne and Kingston, he became, in 1703, minister of Christ Church parish, Middlesex, and honored and loved by all, continued in that place until his death. He was appointed a visitor of William and Mary College in 1723, and Professor of Divinity in 1729. See Meade's *Old Churches* for copy of epitaph on the tomb erected by his parishioners. See also *William & Mary Quarterly*, II, 149; Hayden's *Virginia Genealogies*, 121-122, and this *Magazine*, VII, for accounts of him and of the Yates family.

REV. RALPH BOWKER, of St. Steven's parish, King and Queen county. There is recorded in Spotsylvania county, a deed dated December 1751, from Bowker Smith, of Cumberland county, and Judith his wife, conveying 150 acres, part of a tract patented by Ralph Bowker, Clerk of St. Stephen's parish, King and Queen, and by him bequeathed to his daughter Ann Smith;

the said patent having been renewed in May, 1729, by Bird Bowker, and by him bequeathed to Bowker Smith.

REV. SOLOMON WHATELY, minister of Bruton parish, Williamsburg, 1702-1710. He was licensed for Virginia by the Bishop of London October 11, 1699.

REV. PETER WAGENER. In the *Virginia Gazette* 1739, is a notice of the marriage of Catherine, daughter of Hon. John Robinson, of the Virginia Council, to Peter Wagener, son and heir of Rev. Peter Wagener, of the county of Essex, England. Rev. Peter Wagener was licensed by the Bishop of London for Maryland, August 9, 1703; but evidently soon obtained a Virginia parish. He had returned to England before 1739. His son Peter Wagener was Clerk of Fairfax county.

REV. ARTHUR TILLYARD was licensed for Virginia June 23d, 1702. He was probably the Arthur Tillyard, of the city of Oxford, who matriculated at All Souls College October 15, 1689, aged 16.

REV. PETER KIPPAX was licensed for Virginia November 1, 1699. He was probably the Peter Kippax, son of John, of Colne, county Lancaster, pleb., who was matriculated at Brazenose College, Oxford, January 18, 1689, aged 18, and was B. A. in 1693. He lived in Richmond county.

REV. SAMUEL GRAY was minister of Christ Church, Middlesex. He was expelled, or forced to resign in 1699, for causing the death of a slave by severe whipping. He must, notwithstanding, have obtained another parish, though perhaps he only continued to reside in Virginia without a charge.

REV. JAMES CLACK, son of William and Mary Clack, of Marden, Wiltshire, came to Virginia in 1678, and was minister of Ware parish, Gloucester, from 1679 to December 20, 1723, when he died. His epitaph in Ware Church, is printed in *William and Mary Quarterly*, III, 32. Sterling Clack, believed to be his son, was clerk of Brunswick county. His will, dated January, 1750, with a codicil, was proved in Brunswick, March 26, 1751. He gives all his estate to his loving friend John Lightfoot, Esq., in trust for his wife and children, and in case of Lightfoot's death, to John Clack and Lewis Parham, for same purpose. By the codicil he gives his manor plantation, with

1,000 acres adjoining, and the lands and houses where the court-house stands, to his son Eldredge Clack. John Lightfoot, in his will dated April 20, 1751, makes bequests to James Clack, to Betty, Dolly and Sally, daughters of James Clack, to Eldredge Clack, to John and William, sons of James Clack.

The inventory of the personal estate of Sterling Clack amounted to £698.7. It included five volumes of the *Spectator*, valued at 12 sh., 6 d.; 6 vols. Pope's works, 12 sh.; 2 vols. Pope's Misadventures [?], at 5 sh.; 2 vols. Guardians, 6 sh.; 1 vol. Wellwood's Memoirs, 3 sh.; 2 vols. Addison's Works, 5 sh.; 1 Law Book, 2 sh., 6 d.; 2 vols. Lewit's Ovid, 4 sh.; 1 vol. Pope's Memoires, 3 sh.; 1 vol. Addison's Travels, 2 sh., 6 d.; 1 vol. Collection Statutes, 1 sh., 3 d.; 1 vol. Tullie's Office, 3 sh.; 1 vol. Tra-vier [?], Bailey's Dictionary, £1; a parcel of old books, 2 sh.; 1 vol. Clerks' Guide, 4 sh.; a parcel of law books, £4; a parcel of small books, £1.5.

The will of James Clack (probably another son of Rev. James Clack), was dated June 3, and proved in Brunswick, August 23, 1757. Legatees: sons John and William, daughters Dolly Clack, Lucy Maclin and Mary Anderson; wife Mary; Robert Ruffin and William Thornton, executors.

The will of Mary Clack (doubtless the widow of James Clack, just noticed), was dated April 23d and proved May 23, 1763. Her legatees were her sons John and William Clack, her son-in-law Robert Ruffin, and her grandson Eldredge Clack; she mentions her deceased husband.

The will of Richard Clack was dated January 2d and proved January 27, 1806. Legatees: daughter Elizabeth Parsons Clack, wife Amy, son Frederick Maclin Clack, and six youngest children, whom he does not name.

Henry Robinson and Mary Clack, marriage bond, Brunswick, September 30, 1772. Richard Clack and Anne Hardaway, September 14, 1786. Richard Clack and Amey Maclin, June 3, 1794. Claiborne Anderson, of Chesterfield, and Betty Clack, July 24, 1753. William Maclin, Jr., and Catherine Clack, September 4, 1756.

REV. EMANUEL JONES was licensed for Virginia, May 28, 1700. He was son of John Jones, of Aglesea; matriculated at

Oriel College, Oxford, April 26, 1687, aged nineteen, and took his B. A. degree March 3, 1691-2. He was minister of Petsworth parish, Gloucester, from 1700 to his death in 1739. His much worn tomb, and that of his eldest son, John (which has a mutilated coat of arms), remain at the site of Petsworth Church. Rev. Emanuel Jones had a son Rev. Emanuel, Jr., professor William and Mary College 1758-68, and rector of St. Brides, Norfolk county. He married Miss Macon, of New Kent, and had Emanuel Macon Jones, of Essex. Richard Jones, another son of Rev. Emanuel, Sr., married Anne Simmons, and had Emanuel, who was a student at William and Mary, married Mary Booth, and had a son Richard Jones, who married Martha Throckmorton, and was the father of Judge Warner T. Jones, of Gloucester county.

REV. RICHARD SQUIRE was licensed for Virginia, October 2, 1702. He had a parish in King William county. Perhaps he was ancestor of Richard Squire Taylor, member of the House of Delegates from that county at the end of the last century. A Richard Squire, son of Richard, of Blockford, county of Somerset, matriculated at New Hall, Oxford, July 8, 1679 aged eighteen.

REV. DANIEL TAYLOR was minister of Blissland parish, New Kent, from 1700 to 1724, and possibly later. His son, Rev. Daniel Taylor, born 1704, was educated at St. John's and Trinity, Cambridge, and after taking his B. A., returned to Virginia and became minister of St. John's, King William county, where he remained until his death, September 29, 1742. He married Alice, daughter of Richard Littlepage, and had four sons and two daughters. One of his sons, William Taylor, born 1732, died September 11, 1820, was clerk of Lunenburg county 1763-1814, and member of the House of Burgesses 1765. A copy of the epitaph of Rev. Daniel Taylor, Jr., and a partial account of the family was published in the *Richmond Critic*, March 29, and April 5, 1890, and a fuller genealogy in the *Richmond Times*, during the summer of 1898.

REV. JACOB WARE, Henrico parish. He died in 1709. A deposition in Henrico, 1716, states that he was minister of Hen-

rico parish for about twenty years, and that his eldest son was named Francis.

REV. WILLIAM RUDD was licensed for Virginia, August 12, 1699.

REV. THOMAS SHARPE was licensed for Virginia, October 2, 1699.

REV. OWEN JONES was licensed for Virginia, August 17, 1703.

REV. JOHN SHROPSHIRE was probably St. John Shropshire, son of Oliver, of Marlborough, Wilts., gent., who matriculated at Magdalen Hall, April 9, 1685, aged nineteen, and took his B. A. from Queen's College, 1688, as John Shropshire.

REV. GEORGE ROBERTSON, a native of Scotland, who had been chaplain of a man-of-war in 1692, was minister of Bristol parish. See Slaughter's *History of Bristol Parish*. There is recorded in Henrico a deed dated February, 1735-6, from George Robertson, of Henrico, minister, conveying 2,100 acres to his son George. In November, 1737, the will of Rev. George Robertson was admitted to probate on the petition of John Robertson. Rev. Mr. Robertson had many descendants in Amelia county and elsewhere.

REV. JAMES WALLACE, of Erroll, Scotland, came to Virginia and became a minister in Elizabeth City county, and had a son James, member of the House of Burgesses for Elizabeth City county, 1769-1772, and of the county committee of safety, who married Elizabeth Westwood. James Wallace, Jr., had issue: 1. Robert; 2. Dr. James Westwood, a distinguished physician, educated at Edinburgh, who died September 1, 1838, aged sixty-nine; 3. William Westwood; 4. Mary, died 1809; 5. Martha, married — James; 6. Euphan, born 1764, died at "Park Gate," Prince William county, March 28, 1845; married, first, in 1783, Bailey Washington, of Stafford county; secondly, Daniel Carroll Brent, of "Richland," Stafford county; 7. Elizabeth, married John Macrae.

Rev. James Wallace had also: 2. Ann, married — Wray; 3. Robert, at William and Mary in 1754, married and had James and Wilson; 4. Mary, married in 1752, Richard Ball, of Lancaster county.

It is stated in the *William and Mary Quarterly* that Rev. James Wallace married in 1695, Anne, daughter of John Shepard, and widow of Thomas Wythe (grandfather of George Wythe), and had issue: 1. Euphan (1697-1717), married, first, Wilson Roscow; second, William Dandridge; 2. Captain James, married Martha —; 3. Ann, married Colonel Robert Armistead. Perhaps other issue, as Ann Wallace in her will (proved 1740), names also grandchildren Mary and James Westwood, grandson Mathew Ballard, and grandson George Wythe.

The tomb of Rev. James Wallace is at his home "Erroll" Elizabeth City county, and bears arms: *A lion rampant. Crest: An ostrich's head and neck holding a horseshoe.* The epitaph states that he was from Erroll, Perthshire, Scotland; had been minister of Elizabeth City for twenty-one years, and died November 3, 1712, in his forty-fifth year.

REV. JOHN MONRO, of St. John's parish, King William county. The tomb of his widow, Mrs. Christian Monroe, who died September 23, 1725, is under the floor of Bruton Church. Their daughter, Mary, married John Blair, President of the Council.

**Notes from Council and General Court Records,
1641-1659.**

BY THE LATE CONWAY ROBINSON, ESQ.

[Not the least among the many services rendered by Mr. Robinson to the cause of Virginia history, was the making of an extensive series of notes and abstracts from the Colonial records, which were destroyed in the old General courthouse, on evacuation day, 1865. The destruction of this courthouse was the greatest loss our historical archives and records of land titles ever sustained. Some idea of the value of the contents may be obtained from Dr. W. P. Palmer's very valuable preface to the first volume of the *Calendar of Virginia State Papers*. There were also